

## **What happens when the very system designed to ensure fairness begins to feel out of reach?**

This record is more than a timeline. It is the lived account of a father's sustained effort to remain present in his children's lives—while navigating a legal process that, over time, became increasingly difficult to understand, follow, and trust.

What began as a family law matter evolved into something far more complex. Across months that turned into years, patterns began to emerge: repeated judicial disqualifications left unresolved, procedural safeguards that appeared not to function as intended, and decisions issued under circumstances that raise serious questions about consistency and adherence to governing law.

At the center is a self-represented father of Native American and Hispanic descent who, without counsel, pursued every available legal avenue—filing writs, petitions to the California Supreme Court, a federal civil rights action, and parallel appeals in both state and federal courts—in an unrelenting effort to stay connected to his children.

What follows is not presented as a series of isolated errors, but as a sustained sequence of events that calls for careful examination. It raises fundamental questions about due process, judicial accountability, and whether access to justice remains equally available to those who must navigate the system alone.

This record is preserved not only for legal review, but for broader understanding—of how, over time, procedural breakdowns can take shape, and what it means when the structures meant to protect fairness no longer feel accessible to those who depend on them most. This timeline and record of events is a result of the federal lawsuit.

Before reviewing the following timeline that led up to the federal lawsuit, it is important to understand that these events did not occur in isolation but was a direct and continuous pattern of ongoing litigation of 4.5+ years against a Native American/Hispanic father of three children with no record of misconduct, abuse, substance abuse, or history of a criminal background.

The FCS timeline that I submitted towards investigations done by Dr. Gloria Wu, Family Court Services Evaluator of Marin Superior Court, documents a sequence of events that led to two separate FCS reports in June 2023 and June 2025. Both reports recommended safeguards in my home, and protected more time with my children. It lays the foundation that would later support the pattern of systemic harm done to my family from unrelenting false allegations that were continuously disproven. The system, as it stands, seems to reward cruelty and pain in order to extract money from the individuals within it, rather than providing justice and protection for all families. Specifically families of color.

It is there for context, and a deeper dive into how this case snowballed into something much more than family law, but a civil rights crisis that stripped me of parental rights, contact from my son, reduced time with my daughters, and my rights to due process. While following the timeline, you will see that this case demonstrates the infinite hoops that a pro per litigant of color has to jump through to get the bare minimum contact with their children despite the law requiring substantive contact, unless there was a record of abuse, which was never substantiated and continuously disproven over the course of several years.

The sequence of events in this timeline reflects more than individual rulings or isolated disputes. It reveals a pattern of obstruction, procedural irregularities, and institutional conduct that continued even after the filing of a federal civil rights action.

This timeline is presented not only to document what occurred, but to illuminate systemic issues that, if left unaddressed, will continue to impact families beyond this case. **The goal is not to assign personal blame, but to bring visibility to the mechanisms that allowed these outcomes, so they may be examined, corrected, and improved for the protection and well-being of future generations.**

I respectfully ask that this document be read with care, kindness, and empathy for all involved. These matters concern real people, real families, and real children. In that spirit, I ask the reader to approach this record with a commitment to do no harm, and to seek understanding before judgment.

For full context, readers are encouraged to review the following:

- [FCS Timeline](#)
- [2023 FCS Report](#)
- [2025 FCS Report](#)

To all the parents who feel that they “just can’t get it right” know that you are enough just by being. To all children from broken homes, may you always know that you are never broken and you are never alone. May the spirits of your ancestors always walk with you in your times of need as I know mine have.

For my wife, who stood beside me through every filing, every hearing, and every moment when it would have been easier to walk away. May others know a love as passionate, steady, and enduring.

I, Nicholaus Garcia, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Sincerely and with Hope and Love,

Nicholaus Clarence Garcia  
Jicarilla Father | Self Represented Litigant  
Co-Founder of Native Writer’s Theater

Recipient of multiple state and federal recognitions, including:  
Two United States Congressional Recognitions  
California State Senate Recognition  
California State Assembly Recognition  
John F. Kennedy Center Meritorious Achievement Award  
UC Irvine Educator Recognition  
East County Educator of the Year  
Contra Costa Community College District Teacher of the Year

# **Federal Lawsuit Timeline**

**From 2021 to 2023 a non stop litany of serious false allegations were made against me in order to stop visitation with my children. During this time, our case was being heard by family law Judge Sheila Lichtblau. In May 2023, a family court services report was ordered to investigate these allegations. In June 2023 the report was submitted to the court recommending safeguards and more time with my children. Following this report, Judge Lichtblau ruled in line with the 2023 FCS recommendations. By this point, Judge Lichtblau was fully aware of the “nonstop litany of false allegations” quoted by Dr. Gloria Wu in the June 2023 FCS Report. I had filed for a support modification due to my increase in custody, directly following this, Opposing Counsel, Kris Cirby filed a subpoena for all of my medical, and financial records 2 days before she went on vacation, and pushed for the matter to be heard by Commissioner Frankel.**

## **Background**

1. On 10/17/23 I object to Commissioner Janet Frankel in court stating I would like the case to be heard by a Judge due to our assigned Judge, Judge Lichtblau having more history and knowledge with my case, my objection is documented in the FOAH. Commissioner Frankel proceeded to hear the matter anyway and offered her recommendations. [See FOAH 10/17/2023](#). This motion was for 2 items, a motion to quash that I had filed and additional medical fees being claimed as arrears filed by Respondent and opposing counsel Ms. Cirby. with no evidence or receipts, The subpoenas filed were served 2 days before Opposing Counsel went on vacation and she would therefore be unavailable for any service to quash, [leaving me no legal avenue to protect my rights to object or block the invasive subpoena]. I was able to draft and serve my response to both her, and the court. [See Filed Motion to Quash](#). [See email chain and responses between Opposing Counsel Ms. Cirby and Ms. Moniz](#). It important to note that I had no arranges to date, and have always been current on support and fees, I was also granted my motion to quash and was told by Commissioner Frankel “you would be entitled to attorneys fees, but you do not have an attorney so no fees will be awarded.” Commissioner Frankel in that same hearing instead awarded attorneys fees and costs to Respondent and Counsel Ms. Cirby for the motion being heard and granted their requests in full for all alleged medical expenses.
2. 10/23/2023 I file my FL666 with the court in order to get a de novo hearing with a Judge by law, otherwise the recommendations by a Commissioner would be implemented without receiving a hearing. [10/23/23 objection](#)
3. 10/27/23 I am assigned and given a de novo hearing by Judge Lichtblau. [See 10/27/23 Review Hearing](#)

4. 12/4/2023 The same matter is reheard by Judge Lichtblau and all of Commissioner Frankel's recommendations were overruled. Yet for the first time in the case (since 2021) Judge Lichtblau awarded attorneys fees, it was argued by opposing counsel Kris Cirby (that the commissioner ruled that way), and for the first time in the entire case (since 2021) attorneys fees were granted, yet reduced from Commissioner Frankel's decision. [12/4/2023 Rulings after orders.](#)

**It is important to understand that from this point onwards after receiving a De Novo Hearing, as a pro per litigant, and reversing prejudicial decisions by another judicial officer within the same institution, the procedural irregularities and institutional coordinated attempts against my rights to be heard by a judge amplified.**

5. 1/1/2024 Judge Lichtblau, within a month of my de novo hearing, is transferred out of my case, and out of family law. Judge Beth Jordan is then assigned. Respondent and Opposing Counsel then use this transition to begin the cycle of nonstop litigation making the same claims as they had done since 2021. The previous claims of Respondent's inability to work, and fighting the \$400 stepdown of Spousal Support [by January 2024] that was ordered in the divorce decree. I point this out in my filings and offer the response from the BAR investigation into Ms. Cirby as an exhibit. Judge Jordan never heard the matter because I did not object in time due to scheduling conflicts with work and my medical health. She awarded Respondent an extension of 6 months in ongoing Spousal Support. [See Respondents RFO.](#) [See Petitioners Reply.](#) [See Respondents Reply.](#) [See Judge Jordans Order.](#) Note: This order was a tentative order adopted into the final order no hearing was held (It was my first day of classes) [See email exchange.](#)

**It is my belief that from this point onwards, Opposing Counsel and DCSS Attorney Michelle Moniz began coordinating efforts to create arrearages.**

6. 2/29/24 Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby sends an email and proposes that Petitioner be allowed to claim the children for tax purposes for one year in exchange for cancelling Wednesday visits and reducing weekend time. Father declines. This is consistent with a pattern of bargaining court-ordered parenting time for secondary benefits. See Opposing Counsel Kris [Cirby email](#)
7. 3/5/24 Respondent refuses to clear expenses on OFW and claims none have been paid and threatens court and Attorneys fees. This matter was fully resolved in the 12/4/2023 court order that cleared all medical expenses and was Judge Lichtblau's last ruling before she was transferred out of my case. [12/4/2023 Rulings after orders.](#) This was now being used

against me all over again to relitigate the same issues before a new judicial officer. [3/5/24 emails](#).

8. 6/14/2024 From March to June of 2024 DCSS Attorney Michelle Antionette Moniz repeatedly sends Smith-Osler calculations that do not align with the standing orders. [See 4/14/2024 dispute](#). These calculations double count payments, add substantive overages to spousal support and use the incorrect box on the W2 resulting in over \$30,000 in arrears. I politely contest and offer evidence and ask for a re calculation. My Request is denied and the matter is put on calendar for Commissioner Frankel and Judge Jordan. [See emails to DCSS](#), [See Email with attachments](#). See Attachments to my email [Final Divorce Decree](#), [Image of Calculation Orders 1](#), [Image 2](#), [FOAH 1/23/2024](#)
9. 07/01/24 DCSS contacts me with false allegations that I have cancelled my children's insurance. These false claims were documented in order to be used as exhibits in the upcoming hearing to extend spousal support yet again after the 6 month extension had been exhausted. [See Email](#). [See Supplemental email with Opposing Counsel Ms. Cirby and DCSS Counsel Ms. Michelle Antionette Moniz](#) where I show that I already paid attorneys fees from 12/4/2023's court order that went directly to DCSS to pay to Respondent.

**This did not quell Ms. Cirby and was later used in court as an attempt to issue an order of contempt for non payment even though I had paid in full. Ms. Cirby and Ms. Moniz were both fully aware as per my explanation was documented in the our emails.**

### **Inciting Incident**

10. 9/5/2024 I appear in court on zoom. I object to Commissioner Frankel overhearing the ruling and she states that she "will still hear the matter and offer her recommendations." 2 witnesses were present with me while I was on zoom and can verify. [See Sonia Azizi Verified Statement](#). [See Madina Nawid Verified Statement](#).
11. 9/5/24 In Commissioner Frankel hearing, Respondent was arguing for a second extension for ongoing Spousal Support, and Ms. Moniz's calculations of an exorbitant amount of false arrearages in her Smith-Osler report of \$30,000 against me. In my filings, I requested Respondents assets to be included in the calculations:

Respondent's assets of \$495,000

Respondent's \$100,000 of retirement funds,

Free rent in a multi-million dollar home in an affluent neighborhood,

Respondent's ability to work as she had a Nursing degree with an active license

Child tax credit to be counted as income as required by law

[Respondents Moving Motion](#), . [See Declaration of Kristine Fowler Cirby for Attorney's fees](#). [See DCSS Motion with calculations put forward by DCSS Attorney Michelle Antionette Moniz](#). [See Petitioner's Response](#). [Respondent's Reply Declaration](#). [See Petitioner's DCSS Responsive Declaration](#).

**Commissioner Frankel ignored all my requests for a fair assessment of assets, and accepted Respondent's arguments for another 6 month extension of Spousal Support, and ruled that a Gavron notice would suffice. The rest of the matters were then continued due to lack of time in court.**

12. On September 6 2024 I filed my second FL666 objection the next day after the hearing, seeking a de novo hearing, as I had done the year before, requesting that my case be heard by a non-biased Judge. Please note that it is from this point forward that the court made sure that I would not get a de novo hearing again, even though it is protected by law. [See Timestamped Objection](#)
13. One week later on [9/11/24](#) at 4:00pm I was notified through a timestamped email that Commissioner Frankel filed and submitted handwritten minute notes that were backdated in the docket showing as if she entered them into the docket on 09/05/2025. Her handwritten minute notes claimed that I did not verbally object at the 09/05 hearing. This document was then used as an attempt to block my rights to due process and to a de novo hearing with a Judge. SEE [handwritten notes](#) For clarity: I was served her handwritten minute notes electronically in my email immediately as a pro per litigant in real time as they were entered into the system verifying the timing of the event. SEE [9/11/24 Email POS Timestamp](#). Due to this action, I did not receive a de novo hearing and no other judicial officer saw my FL666 request. Had another Judicial Officer seen my request for a de novo hearing their signature would have been on a denial or approval. This never occurred.

**Note: Accusations of backdating and docket tampering in Marin Superior Court were made by Attorney Barbara Kaufman in 2014 with many letters and filings available online to the public. The events that happened in the past are still reoccurring themes today. I am not an isolated case, and no auditing has happened from this case, to my knowledge, in Marin County. [See Kaufman Letter to County Counsel](#), [See Kaufman Letter to Governor](#), [See Justice California Article](#) showing CEO John Montgomery and CEO Kim Turner alleging background controversy. [See 2014 Press Release from Center for Judicial Excellence](#). This will later come up in future proceedings, as I name Kim Turner in my filings to showcase that I am not an isolated case. Kim Turner, previously the CEO of Marin Superior Court is now the CEO of Mendocino County Superior Court, and was a direct colleague of Judge Cindee Mayfield of Mendocino County. Judge Mayfield will later preside on my case within 2 months of me naming Kim Turner in my filings.**

14. [9/17/2024 I checked with the Self Help Desk](#) to inquire about the status of my de novo hearing. Mitchel Wunsh The Self Help attorney who frequently works with Commissioner Frankel, answered and said that if I timely objected I should receive my

hearing and provided me the laws and regulations that outlined de novo hearings. . [See Full Conversation.](#)

15. [10/08/2024/](#) I was officially denied a de novo hearing (one month following my request) by Commissioner Frankel herself. The Findings and Orders After Hearing from 9/5/24 were entered on or around this date. Metadata will verify date entered. Backdating is not uncommon for Commissioner Frankel as witnessed **by 7/27/23 FOAH being completed and entered on 1/3/2024** (see [7/27/23 FOAH](#) with [1/3/24 Portal Mailer POS](#)) I then began to file objections in advance of hearings to avoid being accused of filing “untimely objections”.
16. I filed objections on 10/18/23, 10/31/23 with attached statements on the record of advanced objection and objection to Commissioner Frankel being any part of my case. All were suppressed and ignored. [9/6-10/31 objections and denials](#)
17. 10/31/24 - The continued hearing with Commissioner Frankel from 09/05 commenced. Again I verbally objected, I had also already filed two previous objections. Commissioner Frankel proceeded to hear the matter anyway. We meet in open court and DCSS Counsel Ms. Moniz attempts to serve me documents due weeks before, mid hearing. I objected to their delivery as I am allowed adequate time to respond by law and the date was then continued. [See 10/31/2025 FOAH.](#)
18. 12/2/2024 I submitted [yet another objection](#) and filed it.

### **Date of Significance**

19. 12/13/24 at 6:30pm after hours when court was closed, and **1** court day before the hearing DCSS Attorney Ms. Michelle Moniz files her [response and serves me](#). By law this was due **2 weeks** prior. Not only did she serve me after hours, she chose to serve me while I was enjoying my limited custodial time with my children the night before. Both Ms. Moniz and Ms. Cirby were aware of my parenting schedule. The late filing was later accepted by Commissioner Frankel, my objection to its untimeliness and requests for due process to prepare were denied. Ms. Moniz did not comply with orders to justify why she was using the incorrect box of my W2 and yet her filings were accepted and mine were suppressed. I was then charged spousal and child support for January of 2024 **twice** and due to their choice to use the highest grossing box of my W2 (that included all taxes and benefits for children) rather than my Tax Returns, or the correct box of the W2, Commissioner Frankel chose to rule that I pay **\$ 9,000** which I did not have. I had been displaced 3 times already at this point living in Vallejo California in a 2 bedroom apartment with 3 kids. It was Christmas. DCSS Attorney Ms. Michelle Moniz placed a levy on all accounts associated with me immediately after. As I left, the bailiff walked next to me and whispered, “I’m so sorry man” as I left the court. This was the lowest day of my life. See [Transcript of 12/17/2024 hearing.12/17/2024 Frankel Orders](#)
20. 12/26/2024 I filed my first [complaint with the CJP](#)

21. 12/27/2024 I filed 2 disqualifications against Commissioner Frankel ruling on my case. [170.6](#) and [170.1/170.3](#)
22. 1/1/2025 Opposing Counsel Kristine Fowler Cirby becomes president of Marin County Bar Association. [See Marin Article and Interview](#)
23. 1/6/2025 Frankel rules on her own disqualification for the first time. [1/6/2025 Order](#)
24. 1/10/2025 I receive a letter from the CJP telling me I need to contact the Presiding Judge Mark Talamantes since the issue deals with a commissioner. [See Letter](#).
25. 1/13/2025 I mail my letter and attachments to Presiding Judge Talamantes. [See Picture of Mailing with date of delivery](#). I then do not hear back from the court. I call weeks later to see if my mail was delivered and I am told by the administration over the phone that the no mail from me was received. I then hand deliver another copy on 2/6/2025.

## **Writ of Mandate 1**

26. 2/06/2025 I file Writ of Mandate with Marin Appellant Court and Hand Deliver the Complaint concerning Commissioner Frankel to Judge Talamantes. Talamantes claims he never read the letter as it is “ex parte communication” but placed it into the docket on 2/6/2025. [See Letter and filing](#).
27. [2/11/2025 Fee waiver](#) is granted in full by Judge Beth Jordan
28. 2/18/2025 - Writ of Mandate is denied by Judge Lichtblau based on lack of Jurisdiction [See Dismissal](#)
29. 3/1/2025 Spousal Support officially steps down and Respondent realizes that there is a legal loophole that a 13 year old can choose to attend visitations. This pattern is documented in the FCS timeline. Spousal support is stepped down and within a month, another serious false allegation of abuse is made against my household and Respondent refuses to allow minor child Jax to attend visitations. [See FCS Timeline](#)
30. 5/1/2025 I file an ex parte as Respondent decides to withhold Jax from me. I am told at the clerks office that I am being assigned to Commissioner Frankel. I tell them that my judge is Jordan and it is my right to be heard by a judge. The manager assigns me to courtroom D (Judge Jordan) I then leave the courthouse and begin heading to work. I am called 30 minutes later and told that Judge Jordan refused to see the case and assigned it back to Frankel. I then drive back to the courthouse and after hours of going to Administration and to Self Help and receiving no guidance, I am told by the Clerks office that I never served the 170.1 disqualification in person to Frankel. I then go downstairs and serve the courtroom clerk Alex Urton who accepts the document.
31. 5/2/2025 I attend court the next day with Judge Donna Petre who informs me she is from the Judicial Council. Respondent and Opposing Counsel do not attend this hearing. The courtroom clerk calls Respondent on her cell and Judge Petre tells her the orders remain in effect and all children will go on the visit. [See Minutes from hearing](#). Respondent refuses and verbally attacks Police Officer Laura Hauser who advised her over the past few days to file in court if she does agree with the court orders and advises her to follow the current

court orders from Judge Petre. That officer then files a report stating Respondent was non-compliant and threatened to sue the Novato police department etc. [See Redacted Report](#). The full events are later discussed under oath in a trial. [See 11/7/25 Transcript with Officer Laura Hauzer](#).

32. 5/5/2025 we meet in court again with all parties and FCS investigation is ordered by Judge Petre. Reunification therapy with Jax and me is also ordered. [See 5/5/25 FOAH](#)

### **Date of Significance**

33. 5/7/2025 Commissioner Frankel writes a response to her disqualification then rules on her own disqualification as a judge and litigant. [See strike 5/7/2025](#). At this same time Respondent is refusing to take Jax to his reunification therapy and I have to file another ex-parte. [See Petitioner's Ex Parte Filing](#). [See Respondents Responsive Filing](#). [See Declaration of Kristine Fowler Cirby](#).
34. 5/8/2025 I file an objection preemptively with my ex parte to ensure that Commissioner Frankel cannot backdate claims as she has done in the past. [See 5/8/2025 objection](#). The Matter is Continued to 5/11/2025. [See continuance](#).
35. 5/11/2025 Luckily, I am still given Judge Petre as a judge who rules that Respondent must take Minor child Jax to reunification therapy and gives a time. [See 5/11/2025 FOAH](#)

### **Writ of Mandate 2**

36. 5/14/2025 I file my second [writ of Mandate](#) with the First District Appellant Court in San Francisco

### **CJP Complaint 2**

37. 5/15/2025 I file my [Second Judicial Council Complaint](#) with the Commission on Judicial Performance. [See Receipt](#)
38. DA investigator contacts me multiple times by phone and email. [Emails from DA 5/18-5/28/2025](#)
39. Minor Child Jax has been blocked from attending therapy by Respondent due to claims of him being sick and her Attorney Kris Cirby tries to delay forcing me to file an ex parte. [Emails leading up to ex parte](#)
40. 5/20/25 8:36 am Appellant Court grants my Fee Waiver. [See Order](#). 4:11pm Appellant **Court denies 361 page writ on the same day with no explanation**. [See denial](#)
41. 6/4/25 I file an ex parte ([6/4/25 Ex Parte](#)) along with an objection to Commissioner Frankel being part of any hearing. [6/4/25 Objection](#). [See Respondents Filing](#). Judge Petre presides and moves all matters and filings to July 2, 2025.
42. 6/9/2025 Both Petitioner and Respondent submit documents to FCS. [See Petitioners Submission](#). [See Respondents Submission](#).

43. 6/25/25, Dr. Gloria Wu releases her FCS Report recommending more time with me, a significant custody modification in my favor, and adding significant safeguards since Mother's behavior did not change. [See 2025 FCS Report](#)
44. 6/25/25 I checked my docket and was shocked to see that I had a court hearing even though I had not been served notice. The Hearing was filed by DCSS Attorney Ms. Michelle Moniz concerning new Smith-Osler calculations for 2024 within 5 months of the last one. I then file for an extension with the court and request for a Judge and not a Commissioner, and objected to Commissioner Frankel. [See filed FL-685](#)
45. 6/25/25, Jay Skelton, the children's therapist emails the court his report and I file a declaration documenting the effects of Respondents behavior on the children and attach Dr. Skeltons email sent to the court and attach a timeline of her actions for the past several years to give context and ask for protective measures. [See June 25 Declaration](#). Following Dr. Skelton's email, he abruptly goes on vacation until trial.
46. [07/01/2025 Tentative orders](#) are released showing compliance with FCS recommendations. July 1 Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby files statement of disagreement. [See statement of disagreement](#). Later that day Dr. Jay Skelton writes a letter that is then used against me in court by Opposing counsel as a last ditch effort to argue against the FCS Report. It is important to note that his own report regarding the investigation that was done on my household was already submitted with Dr. Gloria Wu's recommendations and he was also interviewed by Dr. Wu for both 2023 and 2025 FCS Reports. (It is my belief that Jay Skelton was coerced into writing an additional letter by opposing counsel and Respondent last minute before a hearing, even though he was already interviewed by FCS investigators and sent his letter on June 26 to the court. He then went on vacation, and it appears as though he was attempting to avoid anymore coercion by Opposing Counsel and Respondent's requests.) This has happened numerous times the day of or the day before court and demonstrates a possible pattern of interference and coercion. If email and texts are subpoenaed it will be more clear if pressure was applied. [See email 7/1/25](#)

### **Date of Significance (Catalyst Event)**

47. 07/02/2025 I show up at 8:45 am to file my request for change in custody and am told by the Clerk Tara that my case is closed and my fee waiver no longer applies. I tell her that I have a hearing in 15 minutes and ask how my case could be closed as it is a continued hearing? She says it is closed, and I need to file a new Fee Waiver in order to file. I fill it out and then the Clerk Tara tells me to go to my hearing and return later for the stamped copies. I then go to the hearing. The hearing had several strange factors: There was a court reporter present, the Jury box was filled with court employees, a bailiff was present, extremely agitated and intimidating, sticky notes were being sent from outside the courtroom to Commissioner Frankel while she presided in mid hearing. **Commissioner Frankel offers to rule in compliance with the temporary orders if I agree to her ruling over the matter.** I respectfully deny to protect my rights to due process and she

then proceeds to change the tentative orders and makes Jax's visitations non-mandatory, officially going against FCS court ordered reports. In addition, Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby makes a false claim that she had a signed stipulation on file of me agreeing to Commissioner Frankel ruling in my case, Commissioner Frankel agrees with her, and they both proceed to search for several minutes in their laptops, both complain about service being spotty, and yet it was never produced in the hearing (**and still has not been provided to this date because it does not exist**). This was documented in the transcript. [See July 2 Transcript](#) Regarding the transcript, there were inconsistencies with what was said that did not match statements made in court that day between Opposing Counsel and Commissioner Frankel. I addressed this concern with Julie Nacco, the court reporter, and she refused to add this to the report. I requested for an audio transcript and was told it does not exist.. [See email](#). Following the hearing I immediately [file 6 FL666 objections](#) to Commissioner Frankel overhearing all future matters. When I am filing the objections, the Clerk Tara is on the phone and says "I was just on the phone with your Judge and she hasn't decided on the fee waiver but she'll let you know." I then file the 6 objections at the clerks window. One hour later I receive notice that my fee waiver was denied by Commissioner Frankel, not a judge ([see fee waiver denial](#)) even though it was previously granted by her supervisor Judge Beth Jordan ([See Fee Waiver Granted](#)). I received notice that Commissioner Frankel's orders were submitted ([See 7/2/25 Frankel Orders 1](#)), then later amended ([See 7/2/25 Frankel Orders 2](#)). I later look at the docket and **NONE** of my FL666 filings are in the docket. [See Court Docket July 3 2025 @ 8:53 am](#). Sonia Azizi was present and testified to the July 2 events and her testimony was included as an exhibit in July 8 170.1 DQ. [See Sonia Azizi Garcia July 2 Witness Statement](#).

48. July 3, I email the court asking where my missing documents are and providing them copies of the missing stamped documents. [See Court admin emails 7/3/25](#)

### **CJP Complaint 3**

49. On July 3, I file my third complaint with the Commission on Judicial Performance. [See CJP complaint #3](#).

### **Letter to Chief Justice Patricia Guererro**

50. On July 3 I send a letter to Chief Justice Patricia Guererro outlining what is happening. [See Chief Justice Guerreo letter](#) and I file an [FL300](#) and serve Opposing counsel and DCSS

### **PRA Request 1**

51. On July 4, 2025 I email court administration requesting a Public Records Act Request. [See Email to court](#). See [Letter Attached to CEO James Kim for PRA](#). This request is never acknowledged or responded to. [See Personal email to James M. Kim](#)

## **PJ Talamantes Response**

52. July 7 Presiding Judge Mark Talamantes sends me a response to my complaint. (this is not received until July 25). [See Talamantes July Letter](#)
53. July 7 I email the Judicial council ethics office outlining the issues and receive a response that they have no authority and ask that I never contact them again. [See Judicial Ethics at Judicial Council Response](#)

## **Writ of Mandate 3**

54. July 8 I file my 3<sup>rd</sup> [Writ of Mandate](#), with [Writ Points and authorities](#), with an [application for immediate stay](#) at the appellant court in SF and a [170.1 Disqualification on Commissioner Frankel](#) in the Superior court.

## **Date of Significance (Administrative Interference)**

55. July 8 I file a declaration in the court attaching my letter to Chief Justice Guerrero and my complaint to the CJP outlining the July 2 events [See July 8 Declaration](#). My wife and I file Commissioner Frankel's disqualification and attempt to serve Commissioner Frankel at the Marin courthouse. At approximately 12:45pm we see Commissioner Frankel's clerk Alex Urton returning from lunch as I am on the phone with Mary Harvey from the CJP. Ms. Harvey informed me that the CJP has opened an investigation into the matter due to Judge Talamantes failing to address in his response letter to me that I have a certain amount of time to contact the CJP if I feel that the issue had not been resolved with his letter. I then file my disqualification, and the File Clerk Tara says "I have to find out how to file this" she then leaves the window for about 10 minutes. Upon her return she files the disqualification and says to make sure "we serve her in person." My wife and I then go to the administration office and are told by Cathy Larson "we cannot serve their office due to local rules" and that the judicial officer being served must be present in order to accept service. We are informed of her court room (Courtroom B) assignment and go downstairs to the court floor to serve her in person. The courtroom (Courtroom B) has Judge Jordan presiding, we then go to Judge Jordan's usual courtroom (Courtroom D) and see a legal self-help employee Mitchell Wunsch serving as judge pro-tem. We then return to administration and are told by Administrator Cathy Larson that Commissioner Frankel is no longer in the building and cannot accept service. We then ask to see a copy of the policy and rules stating that they cannot accept service if she is not in the building. Ms. Larson then prints us a copy of the policy, and deliberately obstructs the full document of cited policy and rule, with a piece of paper so it cannot be fully read in its entirety. We notice this and requested if she would be willing to initial the printed copy for our records. She agrees and complies. [See copy of policy](#). I then email and serve the documents ([see email to admin](#)) and attach the [Testimony of Sonai Azizi Garcia](#). We then report what happened to the CJP in our 4<sup>th</sup> complaint. [See CJP complaint #4](#). We also go to the

Sherrif's Office to have them serve the court. [See Front Pg of filing](#). Note: Whenever possible I send a note thanking the officers and or staff who help me with requests

### **Filing of FCS and Court Orders with Children's Medical Provider**

56. 07/08/25 I file a copy of the 2025 FCS Report and New Court Orders stating I need to be informed of appointments with Tamalpais Pediatrics. I ask that my children's account be flagged and I be informed immediately. The office complies until December 2025.

### **Response from CJ Patricia Guererro**

57. 07/08/2025 I receive a response from Chief Justice Patricia Guererro's office. [See CJ Guererro Response](#)

### **Date of Significance (Administrative Interference Continues)**

58. 7/9/25 the 170.1 Disqualification I filed is not on the docket and is missing. [See Docket Screenshot and attachments](#). I then email the court administration [see court email](#).
59. 7/10/25, we have a scheduled court hearing and there has been no official response from the court so I email them again. [See 7.10.25 email](#). I am then informed from Administrator Cathy Larson that the document will not appear in the docket until review has occurred and I send my response. [See 7/10/25 Reply emails](#). The court hearing begins and Commissioner Frankel enters. I attempt to object and am silenced. I then write my objection into the chat and am ignored. [See Screenshot of zoom objection](#). I then email the court a 3<sup>rd</sup> time informing them of what is happening and how my attempts to object are ignored. [See 7/10/25 email 3](#). Finally as my case is being called, the sheriff's office serves Commissioner Frankel the disqualification in mid hearing as she is denying that she has been served, and as a result, she moves the hearing to a later date so that she could respond.. [See Sherrif's Office Proof of Service](#). Adam Moorman was present and testified to the events. [See Verified Witness Statement of Adam Moorman](#).
60. 7/11/25, I email the court administration to get an update of my de novo hearing requested on July 2, and outline the events following. [See July 11 email](#)
61. 7/11/25 I file a 5<sup>th</sup> complaint with the Commission on Judicial Performance. [See CJP complaint #5](#)
62. 7/11/25 I send an official PRA Request to DCSS. [See email PRA DCSS Request](#). [See attached Letter in email](#).
63. 7/12/25 I submit an application with the ACLU. [See Receipt](#)
64. 7/14/25, I receive and email from administration telling me the 170.1 is now in the docket and I reply stating my concerns with the court and administrations breaking of the rules and violations of my rights. [See July 14 email](#).

### **Writ of Mandate 3**

65. 7/15/25 I email 2 state senators informing them of what happened [See email](#). I email Assemblyman Connolly with the writ and Judicial crisis letter. [See email](#). I also file an emergency [Writ of Mandate](#)
66. 7/16/25 I serve Writ of Mandate #4 with the Appellant Court in SF. [See Email to Counsel, court and oversight bodies](#)
67. 7/16/25 Writ of Mandate is denied. No explanation. [See Denial](#). I call and request a reason why my documents are being denied with no explanation and within hours since they are hundreds of pages. I am told I can file a petition with the Supreme court if I am not satisfied.
68. 7/18/25 at 9:02 am the appellant court denies 2 writs both hundreds of pages and only gives a brief explanation. [See Appellant Denial](#)
69. 7/18/25 at 1:42pm ([see email timestamp of service](#)) an order is added to the docket and I am served with Commissioner Frankel ruling on her own disqualification yet again. [See Order](#) . At 1:53pm, 11 minutes later ([See email timestamp of service](#)) another order is added to the docket with Judge Beth Jordan approving Commissioner Frankel's recommendations without granting me a de novo hearing. [See Order](#)

### **CJP Complaint 4**

70. 7/20/25, 2025 I file my 6<sup>th</sup> complaint with the Commission on Judicial Performance. [See CJP Complaint #6](#)

### **Writ of Mandate 4 w/ cover letter to PJ Stewart**

71. 07/21/2025 I file and serve all parties and oversight organizations Writ of Mandate #5. [See email to parties and oversight](#). [See Writ of Mandate #5](#). [See Cover Letter to PJ](#) attached to Writ.
72. 7/21 I file my responsive declaration addressing misstatements made by opposing counsel and citing once again on the record the judicial misconduct. [See Filing](#)

### **Supreme Court Petition 1**

73. 7/21/25 I serve and file Supreme Court Petition 1. [See email service](#). [See Supreme Court Petition 1](#)
74. 7/22/25 I serve and file Supreme Court Petition 1 revised. [See email service](#). [See Supreme Court Petition 1 Revised](#).

### **Supreme Court Petition 2**

75. 7/22/25 I serve and file Supreme Court Petition 2. [See email service](#). [See Supreme Court Petition 2](#)

76. 7/23/25 I send a complaint to the US Commission on Civil Rights. [See email](#). See [Attached Letter](#)
77. 7/24/25 I submit a complaint with the Center for Constitutional Rights. [See email Response](#)
78. 7/24/25 I email the Civil Liberties Defense Center and receive an email response saying the state bar is the only option they know of. [See email](#).
79. 7/24/25 I file a complaint with the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division. [See email receipt](#).
80. 7/24/25 Respondent and attorney Kris Cirby file responsive declarations and ask for attorneys fees. [See Responsive declaration](#). See [Request for attorneys fees](#)
81. 7/24/25 2 separate continuances were added. [See Continuance 1](#). [See Continuance 2](#).
82. July 25, 2025 Appellant court denies writ with little to no explanation. [See Denial](#).

### **Supreme Court Petition 3**

83. 7/28/2025 I Serve and file Supreme Court Petition 3. [See email Service](#). See [Supreme Court Petition 3](#)
84. On July 28, I email the court and oversight bodies copies of my July 30 responsive declaration as well as my 4<sup>th</sup> 170.1 Disqualification on Commissioner Frankel. [See email](#). See [4<sup>th</sup> 170.1 Disqualification of Janet Frakel](#).
85. 7/29/2025 I notice that Commissioner Frankel is still scheduled and issued a tentative ruling despite the objections and disqualifications listed. I send an email objecting to the tentative orders as required by local rules and Kris Cirby responds. [See email](#). I then called the sheriffs office who went out for service and was told Commissioner Frankel was out and the court would not accept service. [See email](#)

### **CJP Complaint #7**

86. July 30, 2025 we show up and a friend calls off work to personally serve Commissioner Frankel prior to the hearing same day. As we arrive the courtroom is closed with a handwritten post similar to Commissioner Frankel's handwriting saying all tentative rulings are being adopted and court is cancelled today. [See Picture of notice posted outside of court](#). I then email the court administration and Judicial Council. [See email](#). [See FL666 Filed](#) and send with email. [See Official Order](#). I then file another complaint with the Commission of Judicial Performance. [See CJP Complaint #7](#). I then file a Responsive Declaration outlining Opposing Counsel Kris Cirbys misconduct along with Commissioner Frankel and note that Kim Turner and Beverly Wood (Former Marin CEO and Judge) were accused of similar behaviors and were no longer in their respective positions at Marin County. [See Responsive Filings](#). Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby then responds outside of rules of court. [See Cirby Response](#).
87. 7/31/2025 I notice discrepancies in the July 2 Transcript and am told that there is no audio of the case. [See email](#)

## **Date of Significance**

88. 8/19/2025 Respondent and Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby file an Ex Parte to have Jaxson, a minor child, testify even though he is underage and the matter has been investigated and reported with his testimony included. [See Ex Parte Filing](#). I then have to file my response. See [Petitioner's Ex. Parte response](#).
89. 8/19/2025 As I go to file my ex parte response I notice an order to show cause has been added to the docket by Commissioner Janet Frakel who is disqualified see [Order to Show Cause](#).
90. 8/19/2025 Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby sends an email providing names of co parent counselors. [See email](#) She then serves another email with her evidentiary hearing documents. [Trial Brief](#), [Exhibit Lists](#), [Witness Lists](#). I also serve her and courtroom B my documents as well. See Petitioners [Exhibits and Witness Lists](#), [FL300 July 2025 filing](#), [July 30 Responsive Declaration](#), [July 30 Objection](#), [FL666 objections](#).
91. 8/20/25 at 8:00am I file a notice of void order with the attachment of the sheriff's office proof of service showing she avoided service for weeks but was served [See Sherrif's Office Proof of Service with Service attempts listed](#). and was disqualified while pushing through the contempt hearing. [See Notice of Void Order](#). At 8:36am ([See service email](#)) the ex parte hearing is continued to 8/29/25. [See Continuance](#).

## **CJP Complaint #8**

92. 8/20/25 I file my 8<sup>th</sup> Commission on Judicial Performance complaint. [See CJP Complaint #8](#).
93. 8/21/25. I meet with San Ramon Elementary Administration for the third time in three years to address letters from employees of the school not being sent to me and being used in court as a means to disrupt and interfere with custody. They ask for copies of the letters and claim that it is not within their policy to take sides during custody matters and that Staff is supposed to comply to these rules. Please note Respondent now works at the children's school as a Yard Duty Supervisor. [See Email chain 1](#). [See email chain 2](#).

**With all the events leading up to this moment, I had no choice but to file a federal lawsuit,.**

## **Federal Lawsuit 1983 Bane Act**

94. 8/22/25 I file my Federal Civil Rights Suit CV25-7110-RFL in San Francisco along with my Fee Waiver. [See Federal Lawsuit](#)

**Date of Significance (Judge Talamantes and Jordan and Commissioner Frankel 170.1 Disqualifications for Cause with Federal Lawsuit cover page as exhibit.**

95. 8/22/25 At 2:00pm I attempt to file 170.1 Disqualifications on Judges Jordan, Talamantes, and Commissioner Frankel with the first page of the federal lawsuit attached as an exhibit. The clerks tell me they will not accept or file the **disqualifications**. I tell them that they have file 4 others in the past already. They claimed that they have never filed a disqualification before and was not trained. Note: These are the same clerks that have already filed all other disqualifications that I submitted in the past. I have them look at the docket, they find the previous disqualifications and still refuse to stamp them. I then request for a supervisor, and I am sent to the court floor to meet the Supervising Clerk of the Court Floor, Ms. Vita Johanson. Ms. Johanson meets with me and walks me upstairs and tells them to stamp and file my documents. She then asks me if I want to hand them to her for service. I tell her someone other than me has to serve her and I leave at 2:50pm. [Hear Audio Exchange of Events](#). [See Talamantes Disqualification](#). [See Jordan Disqualification](#). [See Frankel Disqualification](#). **Note the court has removed exhibit E from Presiding Judge Talamantes' disqualification from the docket and permanent record, which was the first page of my Federal Lawsuit.** I then file my 9<sup>th</sup> CJP complaint. [See CJP complaint #9](#).
96. 8/22/25 Within 30 minutes of me leaving the courthouse, Commissioner Janet Frankel's strike is placed into the docket at 3:18pm after the clerks office is closed. It is again backdated to 8/21/25 and she again responds and rules on her own disqualification. [See Strike Order](#)

**CJP Complaint #10**

97. 8/24/2026 I file my 10<sup>th</sup> CJP complaint. [See CJP complaint #10](#)
98. 8/25/25 Opposing Counsel, Judge Talamantes, and Commissioner Frankel are served prior to hearing at 8:40am and Proof of Service is filed. See [E POS 1](#), [See POS 2](#), [See POS 3](#).
99. 8/28/25 3 continuances are added for all hearings and push the hearings to 9/5/25. See [Continuance 1](#), [See Continuance 2](#), [See Continuance 3](#).
100. 9/3/25 I File 3 Objections to Commissioner Frankel overseeing the continued hearings. See [Objection1](#), [Objection 2](#), and [Objection 3](#)

**Date of Significance (Judge Talamantes and Commissioner Frankel rule on their own Disqualifications with knowledge of Federal Lawsuit.**

101. 9/4/25 I receive notice that Judge Talamantes and Commissioner Frankel have struck their Disqualifications and ruled on them their selves and have refused to step

**down despite being sued and have somehow avoided any oversight. [See Talamantes Order/Strike](#), [See Frankel Order/Strike](#).**

102. 9/4/25 I receive my IFP from Federal Court. See IFP. See [Email POS](#). [See Federal IFP Granted](#) I find out later that day that I was finally assigned a Judge. Judge Cindee Mayfield from Mendocino County, who worked with CEO Kim Turner, who I had named in my filings in July, 2025, 2 months prior.

### **Retaliation Begins**

103. 9/5/25 I file a [Notice of Void Order and Lack of Jurisdiction](#) against Commissioner Janet Frankel overseeing any matters and pointing out that she has continued to rule on her own disqualifications.

104. 9/5/25 We show up to court and Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby is not prepared and Respondent is not in attendance. Judge Cindee Mayfield decides to continue the hearing for opposing counsel and states that she will allow Jax to testify. I object and state that Judge Petre, the FCS investigator Dr. Gloria Wu, Child Therapist Dr. Jay Skelton, and even Commissioner Janet Frankel all agreed not to have him testify. Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby verifies this in the transcript, stating that Commissioner Frankel was going to order a third FCS report, rather than have Jaxson testify. Judge Mayfield decides to have him proceed anyway. See Transcript of 9/5/2025. I am also told that in order for the court ordered FCS reports to even be considered I will have to subpoena Dr. Gloria Wu or the court ordered reports will be excluded from the trial. [See 9/5/25 Hearing Transcript](#).

105. 9/5/25 Following the hearing I file and attempt to serve the Subpoena. [See Subpoena](#). I am blocked access to deliver it in person by court administration so I email the subpoena and file for service with the Sheriff's Office. [See Sheriff's Office Attempts for Service](#). On 9/8/25 I receive this email from court administration stating local rules blocking subpoena since it needs a 10 day advanced warning. [See email](#). Note: This is the exact language used to deny and block reports on 9/10 hearing by Judge Mayfield when she admits to ex parte communication with Judge Beth Jordan on recess.

106. 9/8/2025 I file a Motion to Reconsider and include all evidence showing that all experts and the law recommend against it and the only thing it will do is hurt the child. [See Motion to Reconsider](#) for Jaxson testifying. I had found in my research that Judge Mayfield is a retired judge from Mendocino County where she worked with Kim Turner who was deliberately named in my July Responsive Declaration. [See July Responsive Declaration](#). I also found her robing room reviews that had a very serious and disturbing pattern of discrimination against Pro Per Litigants of Color. [See Robing Room Reviews](#). I then file a Declaration of Concern about the assignment of Judge Cindee Mayfield and attach evidence of my filings and letters from attorney Barbara Kauffman who reported Kim Turner and Beverly Wood. [See Declaration of Concern](#). In addition, I file my questions for my son should he be compelled to testify and I refuse to interrogate him but list my questions as my potential last words of comfort. **PLEASE READ: See In**

**Chambers Questions.** Those questions are later blocked by Judge Mayfeild and all Opposing Counsels Questions are permitted. [See Mother's Questions.](#)  
107. 9/9/2025 at 3:15 pm after the clerks office was closed Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby files 5 last minute Motions in Limine to block and suppress court ordered evidence. [See Motion 1](#), [See Motion 2](#), [See Motion 3](#), [See Motion 4](#), [See Motion 5](#). [See email service from Cirby Law.](#) [See email to court at 3:19pm](#) asking the court to get the motions to the judge even though they are not on the docket and will not be on the docket even during the hearing on 9/10/25 the next day.

**Date of Significance: Judge Mayfeild allows and grants opposing counsel's last minute Motions in Limine blocking court ordered evidence, takes advice from a named litigant in Federal Lawsuit to suppress key witnesses and evidence, and forces Minor to testify against his father despite professional recommendations to the contrary.**

108. 9/10/25 Judge Mayfeild admits that the Motions in Limine are not on the docket and it is acknowledged that they were filed after hours. I ask for a continuance so that I may have proper time to review. This request is denied. I ask for a continuance so that Dr Wu can be properly subpoenaed and her court ordered reports can be admitted. This request is denied. Judge Mayfield then gives me 15 minutes and later extends to 30 minutes to review and respond to all 5 motions of limine before accepting them. Upon return from the recess Judge Mayfield admits on the record to ex-parte communication with Judge Beth Jordan (a named litigant in the federal lawsuit who, at the time of the hearing, had still not responded and was disqualified) and uses that conversation to block and suppress the FCS reports and block the witness Dr Gloria Wu the courts own FCS investigator. Judge Mayfeild then compels Jax to testify and blocks my questions and allows all of Mother's question. [See 9/10/25 Transcript.](#) As I leave for recess I seen my son waiting with his grandfather and I walk to the grandfather and ask him to "please tell Jax, I love him." **This is the last time I will see my child to date.**

**Writ of Mandate 5**

109. 9/12/25 I file a Writ of Mandate and Application for Immediate Stay with the appellant court and serve Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby and Court Administration. [See September Writ of Mandate.](#) [See Application for Immediate Stay.](#)  
110. 9/17/2025 After speaking with counsel I am advised to file a 170.1 Statement of Disqualification on Commissioner Janet Frankel and Judge Cindee Mayfeild. [See Mayfeild Disqualification.](#) [See Frankel Disqualification.](#) These are served at 8:45am prior to the 9am hearing and POS is filed at 8:50am. [See POS on Mayfeild.](#) [See POS service on Judge Jordan.](#) Once the hearing begins Judge Mayfield takes a short recess and comes back and cites an obscure journal to continue presiding over the hearings even though she

has not responded to the 170.1. The hearing proceeds. [See September 17 Transcript](#). At the end of the hearing Judge Mayfeild stated that we are out of time. She precluded to tentatively schedule a continued trial date. She stated that if I was unable to get out of work for a Friday hearing the matter would be continued to another date, but that I had to inform the court by 10am on 9/18/25 the next day. In the transcript of this hearing you will read the testimony of the children's therapist Dr. Jay Skelton, and Respondent's treating therapist Tina McMillan LMFT. Tina McMillan will later admit under oath that she has never met me, has never observed Respondent or Petitioner with the children, and has never conducted psychiatric testing on her patient. Her voice will later be amplified as a reason for reducing timeshare with me.

111. 09/18/2025 At 9:54 I email and inform the court clerk that I cannot get out of work obligation. Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby (who admitted she had a trial on 9/19 at the 9/17 hearing) disputes the conflict and a mandatory scheduling conference is held and I am forced to attend- even though I inform the court that I have to pick my children up from the school and have a 1 hour commute and as a result will be in the car with my children during the zoom conference call. I am told to be at the zoom conference or the hearing will commence on 9/19. [See Email Chain](#). I am rushed to get from Novato to Vallejo during rush hour traffic (with my children in the car) just to get home so that the children are not exposed to the court proceedings. I had been forced to place me and my children in harm's way to attend an unnecessary conference call, and I was praying just to make it home safely and in one piece within the allotted time, without losing my job and my family. I make it home on time, barely, for the call, and immediately log on zoom. Once the conference begins Judge Mayfeild calls it a "hearing" and then proceeds to create tentative orders removing reunification therapy and all contact with my son Jax until the trial continues in November. She then asks Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby to create an FOAH. [See Minute Orders](#). [Hear unofficial audio recording of 9/17/25 scheduling conference/ hearing](#). Note: Judge Mayfeild is still disqualified, this is an after hours zoom conference turned into a hearing, and substantive orders are being made. There are 2 witnesses present to verify these events and I filed a declaration on September 30 documenting all of this in the permanent record. [See 9/30/25 Declaration](#).
112. 9/17/25 All 3 Supreme Court Petitions are denied with no explanation. [See SC Denial 1](#), [See SC Denial 2](#), [See SC Denial 3](#).
113. 9/18/25 My Writ of Mandate is denied and Application for Immediate stay are denied with no explanation. [See Denial](#).
114. 9/19/25 Judge Mayfeild responds to the Disqualification. [See Verified Response](#).

### **Supreme Court Petition 3**

115. 9/24/25 I file and serve the Supreme Court Petition for the Writ of Mandate denied on 9/18/25. [See Supreme Court Petition](#). Supreme Court Grants my fee waiver. [See Fee Waiver](#)

116. 9/24/25 I attend a hearing for contempt and show cause. Judge Donna Petre presides and states that I have the right to a public defender and grants enough time for me to apply with the Public Defenders office. This is on calendar as an arraignment, but Judge Petre uses the time to grant me time to secure a public defender before an arraignment can occur. [See 9/24/25 Transcript.](#)

### **Date of Significance (Judge Jordan rules on her own DQ with knowledge of Federal Lawsuit.**

117. 9/24/25 Judge Beth Jordan responds and rules on her own disqualification despite pending federal lawsuit. [See Order/Strike Judge Jordan](#)

118. 9/25/25 Commissioner Frankel is served a 170.1 and continues the hearing. [See Minute Orders.](#) [See POS.](#)

119. 9/30/25 I file my declaration outlining the events of 9/18/25 as well as give 5 names of co-parent counselors who are in network as well as a letter from my treating therapist recommending parallel parenting. [See September 30 Declaration.](#)

120. 10/1/25 Disqualification is assigned to Judge in Solano County. [See Judicial Assignment Non-Case Assignment.](#)

121. 10/2/25 Commissioner Frankel once again responds and rules on her own disqualification. [See Frankel 10/2 Strike Order.](#)

### **Date of Significance (CJP contacts me)**

122. 10/03/25 Approximately. I am contacted by the Commission on Judicial Performance and told that they are not permitted to investigate any claims that have not been outlined to the Presiding Judge. I am told to write to Presiding Judge Talamantes in order to outline all matters I am asking to be investigated.

123. 10/3/25 I send an email to the federal court asking for an update. [See 10/3 email.](#) I do this again on 10/5/25 and 10/13/25. [See 10/13/25 email](#)

124. 10/13/25 Judge Donovan rules to strike disqualification of Mayfeild. [See Ruling.](#)

125. 10/20/25 As ordered I file my Offer of Proof with each witness for trial. [See 10/20 Offer of Proof.](#)

126. 10/20/25 Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby responds claiming that my Offer of Proof is insufficient. [See email chain.](#)

### **Date of Significance (Public Defender's office Visit 1)**

127. On 10/20/2025 I go to the public defender's office who informs me that they never offer public defenders for Family Law. I am told to do an intake form and I am given a card and am told that someone will contact me.

### **Date of Significance (Letter to PJ Talamantes 2)**

128. 10/20/25 I email and Mail Presiding Judge Talamantes outlining what has happened and preparing for more CJP complaints. [See October Letter to Talamantes](#)

### **Date of Significance (Dr. Wu agrees to appear in court with subpoena)**

129. 10/22/25 Due to the continuance I am able to subpoena Dr. Gloria Wu who accepts to appear at the Nov 7 Hearing. [See FCS Response](#)

### **Date of Significance (PJ Talamantes Responds to my letter)**

130. 10/29/25 Presiding Judge Talamantes sends me his response to my October letter and makes numerous false and unverified accusations and claims he will not take action. [See Talamantes October Response](#)

131. 11/3/25 I file a 170.1 Disqualification with the court on Commissioner Frankel. [See 11/3/25 Disqualification of Janet Frankel](#) I also file a Notice of Objection or FL666 prior to the hearing. [See FL666 Notice of Objection.](#)

### **Date of Significance (Public Defender's Office)**

132. 11/04/25 I go to the public defenders office who tells me that all of my information has been deleted and they have no record of my visit. I then ask to meet with a public defender and Mr. Thomas Master hears me and asks for me to email him my information. He then does my intake and helps me qualify for a public defender and even offers to show up to my courtroom in person and inform the judge that I qualify. [See email to Mr. Master.](#)

133. 11/5/25 Once again the day before the hearing Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby files a Motion in Limine to block and suppress evidence and testimony and witnesses. [See Motion in Limine.](#) **In the subsequent time I find that local rules state that all motions require 5 days prior notice before any hearing.** I say this in court and Judge Mayfeild responds "I am aware of the rules of service." This is in direct contrast to September 10 when she allowed and accepted 5 motions in limine. [See September 10 transcript.](#)

### **Date of Significance (Commissioner Frankel "Orally Strikes" 7<sup>th</sup> 170.1 and both she and DCSS Counsel refuse to recuse themselves from the case- On The Record)**

134. 11/6/25 Commissioner Janet Frankel is served a disqualification prior to the hearing. [See Proof Of Service.](#) She then takes a 15 minute recess and returns stating she is "orally striking" the disqualification and proceeding with the hearing and will respond later. She

offers no grounds or explanation. In the same hearing DCSS attorney Michelle Antionette Moniz also refuses to recuse herself despite the federal lawsuit. Due to Opposing Counsel Ms Cirby and DCSS Ms. Moniz not having their documents ready the matter is continued. Once again DCSS Counsel Ms. Michelle Anionette Moniz attempts to serve medocuments due weeks prior during an active court session. [See 11/6/25 Transcript.](#) [See Orders After Hearing](#)

### **Date of Significance Trial Day with Therapists, Officers, and Last Minute Motions in Limine**

135. 11/7/25 We meet before Judge Mayfeild who states that she is aware of the service requirements concerning the late Motion in Limine filed yet again by Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby. She still proceeds to hear the matter and agrees to allow the motion yet again. Therapist Tina McMillon Respondent's LMFT testifies and states she has never observed Respondent's parenting and did not conduct any psychiatric tests. Ms McMillan admitted that she had been working with Respondent prior to the divorce and that she was the one who recommended Dr. Jay Skelton as the out of network child therapist on our case. Dr Gloria Wu the FCS investigator testifies that day, as well as Officer Laura Hauser who filed the police report against Respondent in May 2025.. [See Transcript of 11/7/25 Hearing.](#) [See Exhibit List later added to docket.](#)
136. 11/10/25 I file an FL666 objecting to Commissioner Frankel rulings and asking for De Novo Hearing. [See 11/10 FL666.](#)

### **3<sup>rd</sup> Letter to Presiding Judge Talamantes**

137. 11/10/2025 I send a letter to Presiding Judge Talamantes outlining the events leading up to November 10 so that he can address it, and I can then send another CJP complaint correlating with the evidence. [See November Letter to Talamantes](#)
138. 11/12/25 Commissioner Janet Frankel yet again rules on her own disqualification and strikes. [11/12 Frankel Order/Strike.](#)]

### **CJP Complaint #11**

139. 11/12/2025 I file my 11<sup>th</sup> CJP complaint. [See CJP complaint #11](#)

### **Federal Dismissal with Prejudice no allotment for Amendments**

140. 11/13/25 Judge Rita Lin dismisses the case. [See Judgement.](#) Then closes the case. [See Order of Dismissal.](#) [Full Federal Docket.](#)

### **Presiding Judge Talamantes Responds to my letter**

141. 11/17/2025 Presiding Judge Mark Talamantes responds to my letter. [See November Talamantes Response.](#)

**Date of Significance (Respondent Testifies and Judge Mayfeild repeatedly allows hearsay)**

142. 11/24/25 Trial continues. Judge Mayfeild overrules and allows all Hearsay despite objections. This is also the day of Respondent's Testimony and Cross Examination. Other Testimonies include Petitioner's father and eye witness Bryan Garcia, Petitioner's Step Father Wendell Keith Moorman, Petitioner's spouse and eye witness Sonia Azizi Garcia, and Petitioner Nicholas Clarence Garcia. [See Transcript 11/24/25.](#)

**Date of Significance (Final day of Trial and rulings inconsistent with reports and witnesses)**

143. 11/25/25 On the final day of trial I bring forward my testimony. Judge Mayfeild then allows Respondent to get back on the stand. Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby submits video evidence not listed and with no transcripts or copies (Note her last minute motion in limine on September 9 blocked my evidence for this specific reason. See 9/9 Motion in Limine [See Motion 1](#)) Judge Mayfeild allows it despite my objections. Judge Mayfeild leaves the room and when the video is viewed before submission- it is clear that the video evidence does not reflect Respondent's testimony, therefore Opposing Counsel chooses to strike the video evident. The video is withdrawn and in its place Opposing Counsel puts Respondent back on the stand to verbally paint a false narrative of their intended path through testimony. I object and ask for the video to be shown instead as it is a more accurate representation of the truth. This request is denied and my objections to hearsay are denied. During cross examination I then go through their entire evidence list and demonstrate for the record that their entire case consists of nothing but hearsay and should be disregarded. I also bring forward evidence that Respondent has been in contact and has managed to gain access to all both mine and my spouses medical information through Anthem. Judge Mayfield later dismisses the evidence. Judge Mayfeild then asks for closing arguments and interrupts mine and allows Opposing Counsel Ms. Cirby to interrupt me twice. **Then Judge Mayfeild admits that Jax's testimony "felt coached" and that no abuse had happened and states that she is further reducing my time with all children and removes the safeguards made to protect the children and my parenting time put in place by the 2025 FCS report and current standing custody orders ratified by Judge Jordan. Judge Mayfeild also orders co-parent counseling outside of network knowing the cost will force me into contempt.** [See Full 11/25/25 transcript](#)

144. 12/1/2025 Judge Mayfeild files her proposed orders and asks for objections to be filed within 15 days. [See Proposed Orders](#)

145. 12/10/25 I file my Objection to the proposed orders. [See Petitioner's Objection.](#)  
Respondent and Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby also file their objections showing the out of network provider proposed was no longer accepting clients. [See Respondent Objection.](#)  
[See Cirby email to court 1.](#) [See Cirby Email to court 2.](#) [See Housman Cirby Email.](#) [See Freidlander Cirby Email.](#)

### **Ninth Circuit Notice of Appeal is Filed**

146. 12/11/26 Notice of Appeal is Filed and Docketed. [See Notice.](#)

### **CJP Closes Investigation with no Findings**

147. 12/11/2025 I Receive a notice from the CJP that they are closing the investigation and will not pursue any actions. This was notably after the federal lawsuit was dismissed. [SEE CJP DECISION](#)

### **Date of Significance (Judge Mayfield's Final Orders deliberately ignoring my objections)**

148. 12/19/25 Judge Mayfeild finalizes orders and again rules for an out of network provider rather than one of the 5 Phd candidates I put forward that were in network. Judge Mayfeild also refuses to address my objections and states that it will be advised on appeal. [See Final Orders After Hearing](#)

149. 12/31/25 I file and serve my updated Income and Expense Declarations

150. 12/31/25 I file and serve my Motion to Reconsider for out of network co parent counselors. [See Motion to Reconsider.](#)

151. 1/4/25 I file complaints with the [Civil Rights Department](#), [State Assembly Judiciary Committee](#), [State Senate Judiciary Committee](#), [Little Hoover Commission](#). [See CRD Response.](#)

152. I file a Bar complaint against Michelle Antionette Moniz. [See Bar Receipt](#)

153. 1/7/25 I send an email to Judicial Council Administration to ask them to look into the handling of my case. [See Judicial Council email.](#)

### **CJP Complaint #12**

154. 1/7/2026 I file a CJP complaint against Cindee Mayfeild. [See CJP Complaint](#)

155. 1/12/2026 I mail and email DCSS Director Kristen Donadee and supply her with my complaint against Michelle Moniz and provide evidence. [See email.](#)

### **Civil Grand Jury Request**

156. 1/13/26 I request a Marin County Civil Grand Jury and receive a response. [See Letter.](#)

157. 1/15/25 Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby sends an email requesting disclosures. I send her an email showing her that I have already provided what the court asked for and provided what I had in good faith. [See email Response](#).
158. 1/16/26 the CJP responds to my complaint concerning Judge Mayfeild and states that due to Judge Mayfeild's retired status, the CJP no longer maintains jurisdiction. I am then informed to contact the Temporary Judges Assignment Program. [See CJP Response](#)

### **Date of Significance Ninth Circuit Opening Brief and NAACP Amicus Letter**

159. 1/20/25 I file and serve my Ninth Circuit Appeal Opening Brief as well as the Amicus brief filed on my behalf from the NAACP. [See email 1](#). [See email 2](#). I also serve Marin County Counsel. The NAACP Letter is notably signed on Dr. Martin Luther King Day.
160. 1/20/26 I file the NAACP amicus letter attached to case. [See filed NAACP Amicus Letter](#).
161. 1/21/26 I email court administration and the judicial council requesting for them to reassign my case and hearing. [See email](#).

### **TJAP Temporary Judges Assignment Program Complaint**

162. 1/21/26 I email the Temporary Judges Assignment Program to file an official complaint against Judge Cindee Mayfeild. The email is sent to TJAP, Marin Court Administration, and the Judicial Council. No response is given. [See email](#).

### **DCSS State Director Complaint**

163. 1/21/26 I email DCSS Director Kristen Donadee to follow up on my January 12 complaint and to serve her the NAACP Amicus Letter. [See email](#).

### **Commissioner Frankel Continues to Preside over the Case and is reassigned mid hearing yet still remains on all matters on the docket**

164. 1/22/26 Despite numerous complaints and service of Ninth Circuit Appeal Commissioner Frankel yet again presides over the case. NAACP Richmond Branch President Willie J Robinson attends this hearing. Both Commissioner Frankel and DCSS Counsel Michelle Moniz are present. I reinstate my 170.1 and also point out that administration and all oversight bodies including the State DCSS Director have been informed and that their continued presence demonstrates a deliberate systemic retaliation. Mid hearing Commissioner Frankel gets an email and states for the record that the case is assigned to another Judge and the matter is continued. Yet on the docket all remaining hearings are

still listed with Commissioner Frankel Note: DCSS Attorney Michelle Antionette Moniz again does not have calculations ready and attempts to serve me mid hearing as usual. [See Transcript of 1/22/25 Hearing.](#) [See 1/22/25 Findings and Order After Hearing.](#)

165. 1/22/26 Following the hearing I receive an email response from DCSS State Director's Office. [See email.](#)

**Date of Significance (Opposing Counsel Improperly Serves Discovery Later files Motion to Compel)**

166. 1/22/26 Following the hearing Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby sends an email to both my Public Defender Nichole Tiger and myself asking for disclosures with threats. She then claims that the disclosures mailed and served in November were for the contempt hearing. I inform her that not only did I comply in good faith, but that she is now on record stating that those forms were for the contempt hearing and were improperly served to me and instead needed to be served to Ms. Tiger. Opposing Counsel Ms. Cirby claims that Ms. Tiger was not my attorney of record at the time and I provide her a verified copy of the transcript where she states on the record she knew of Ms. Tigers assignment prior to the discovery service. Opposing Counsel then deflects and claims that "I cannot speak to her." [See email chain.](#) [See email attachment of transcript.](#)

167. 1/23/26 the court changes the room of the hearing. [See Notice of Hearing Courtroom Change.](#) 1/23/26 The case is officially reassigned to Judge Siroka. [See Case Reassignment.](#)

168. 1/30/26 I email the Marin County Civil Grand Jury the NAACP Amicus Letter. [See email.](#)

**Date of Significance Docket and Scheduling Inconsistencies including a docket entry stating my matters have been heard and orders made prior to the hearing.**

169. 2/2/26 I notice that my case is scheduled for Judge Siroka, yet the matter on the docket says the hearing has been already heard and orders made prior to the actual hearing date. I email for clarification and the court administration ignores. I then send a follow up on 2/3/26 asking for an update and I CC' state and county oversight bodies. Administration does not respond. Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby responds instead of the court administration forcing me to respond and to add more oversight bodies into the conversation. [See email chain.](#) Marin administration finally responds and reschedules the hearing to a later date for reasons unexplained. [See response.](#)

170. 2/3/26 The motion to reconsider is officially changed to be heard by Judge Mayfield for March 10,2026. [See Notice Hearing March 10 with Cindee Mayfeild.](#)

171. 2/4/25 Respondent and Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby file a 170.6 Peremptory challenge to disqualify Judge Siroka. [See Cirby and Respondent89's 170.6 DQ of Siroka.](#)
172. 2/4/26 Judge Siroka grants disqualification. [See Order granting DQ.](#)
173. 2/6/26 Supervisor Eric Lucan's office aid sends me a message stating that Marin Grand Jury cannot investigate courts. [See email.](#)
174. MALDEF asks for information and confirms receipt of documents. [See email.](#)

### **Notice of Appeal filed for Judge Mayfield's December 19<sup>th</sup> Custody Orders**

175. 2/13/26 I file and serve my Notice of Appeal for Mayfield ruling to opposing counsel and oversight bodies. [See Service email and recipients.](#) [See APP0022 Notice of Appeal.](#) I also serve the Fee Waiver Attached to the appeal. [See Fee Waiver.](#) I then file the proof of service with all recipients in email attached. [See POS](#)

### **Date of Significance (Case Reassignment to Judge Schurz yet Commissioner Frankel remains on for all matters)**

176. 2/17/26 The Case is officially assigned to Judge Schurz. The docket only reflects the contempt hearing, all matters are still scheduled to be heard by Commissioner Frankel. [See Official Case Assignment to Judge Schurz.](#)
177. 2/17/2026 Clerk mails Clerks Notice of Appeal. (note: There is no docket for any appeal documents and nothing shows on the e portal. I also checked with appellant court and no record of the appeal exists. [See Clerks Notice of Appeal.](#) [See email to appellant court.](#)

### **County Counsel Responds to Ninth Circuit Case**

178. 2/18/2026 Marin County Deputy Counsel Michael Zimmerman and team send me their responsive documents for the Federal Case. [See email.](#) [See Appeal Brief.](#) [See Supplemental Exhibits](#)

### **Date of Significance Medical Interference by Doctors Office**

179. 2/19/2026 Earlier in the week I requested a copy of all my children's medications to be sent to my pharmacy so that I could have a supply for medication at my house. The Dr office sent the prescription and then (I am told by the Doctor's Office Staff that Respondent called the medical office and claimed I could not receive the medications and the Dr's office cancelled the prescriptions. I sent the medical office emails - through the portal- and asked for them to resend them. They did and the medication duplicate overrides were approved by Anthem. Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby then emailed me and threatened to take me to court over this. I responded and included oversight bodies. [See email.](#) See attachments: [OFW Messages 1](#), [OFW Messages 2](#), [OFW messages 3](#), [Dr Portal Messages](#), [Dr. Portal Messages 2](#).

### **PRA Request 3**

180. 2/20/26 I send another PRA request but this time cc County Board of Supervisors, Civil Grand Jury, County Counsel and others to renew my PRA request that has been ignored for almost a year. [See PRA Request 2](#).
181. County DCSS completes its investigation and finds nothing wrong. See [County email](#). [See County Official Response](#).
182. 2/21/26 US DOJ Civil Rights Division advises to contact the FBI. [See email](#).
183. 2/25/26 The 2/27 hearing is continued by the court to 3/5/26. [See Continuance](#).
184. 2/26/26 Respondent and Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby file their responsive pleadings to the Motion to Reconsider and ask for attorneys fees. [See Responsive Pleadings](#).
185. My 2/26/26 Hearing is scheduled as an arraignment for a 7<sup>th</sup> time and my Public Defender Nicole Tiger sends an email for clarification. [See email](#).
186. 2/26/26 I file my extension on my Federal Response Brief. [See attached](#).
187. 03/02/26 I file my Responsive Pleadings and my Official Objection to Judge Mayfeild overhearing the matters on March 10. [See Responsive Pleadings](#). [See Objection to Mayfeild](#).
188. 3/2/26 The office of legal services for the county acknowledges my PRA request. [See response](#).
189. 3/3/26 I file a declaration with emails from my treating therapist stating that parallel parenting is co parenting and part of the co parenting model. [See Declaration MC030](#).
190. 3/4/26 the Judicial Council sends me an email response for the first time claiming they have no jurisdiction and advise me to get a lawyer. [See email](#).

**Date of Significance (Marin County denies fee waiver despite attached fee waivers granted by all courts of the land including Marin County: Which specifically states “all other fees that apply”)**

191. 3/4/26 I receive a fee waiver denial for my appeal. I then file paperwork for a hearing and am told by the clerk that no hearing is scheduled but that the judge “has it on his desk.” I also ask where I can access my files or anything in the appeal docket since it does not show up in the e portal. I am told they “don’t know.” I then send an oversight email to state and local legislators asking for help. [See email](#). [See FW006 request for hearing](#).
192. 3/5/26 The court docket says that I am listed for an arraignment for a 7<sup>th</sup> time. My attorney Nichole Tiger reaches out for clarification. She hears back that it is indeed scheduled for another arraignment and not a trial. The Judge shows up expecting a trial and is upset.
193. 3/9/26 I have still not heard from TJAP (Temporary Judges Assignment Program) so I email a follow up and cc Judicial Council: admin, pr office, ethics, State Senat and State assembly judiciary committees, CA AG, Senator Schiff, Congressman Liu, 36 ranking members of California Judges Association. I then receive an email confirming investigation within 5 minutes. [See email](#).

## **Marin County Procedural Games with PRA documents**

194. 3/10/26 County Sends PRA DCSS documents yet when I open them it is empty and says files have been deleted and moved. I then email. [See email](#). [See Screenshot](#)
195. 3/10/26 Judge Mayfeild hears the Motion to Reconsider and is visibly upset. She then gives her ruling and asks Opposing Counsel Kris Cirby to write the Findings and Orders, I object and then she states “Then I will only write in my ruling “denied”.” The Transcript of this event has been ordered and is en route. [See Petitioner’s Moving Motion](#), [See Petitioner’s Objection to Judge Mayfeild](#). [See Respondent’s Responsive Declaration](#), [See Petitioner’s Responsive Filing](#). [See MC030 with declaration from Marina Blalock LMFT](#) stating parallel parenting is co-parenting. [See Judge Mayfeild’s Ruling](#).
196. 3/10/25 Respondent and Opposing Counsel file Motion to Compel and seek attorneys fees with full knowledge of improper service. . [Respondents Moving Motion](#). [See Separate Statement In Support of Request for Order](#). [Declaration of Kristine Fowler Cirby](#). [See Respondents Memorandum of Points and Authorities](#) I believe these documents are AI generated as the vernacular and sentence structure do not match Opposing Counsel Ms. Cirby’s previous filings and email submissions. Note: I have not been served these documents and only found them on 3/24/25.
197. 3/13/2026 County DCSS sends inaccessibly link to me. When I go to pick up printed PRA request I am told that all emails are deliberately withheld. There are only 13 documents in the printed materials [See Picture of all Materials](#). This is not even the full list of filed documents in my case with DCSS. I am told this is all that will be provided and that this command was at the directive of County Counsel. I then travel to County Counsel’s office to ask for clarification and am denied a meeting. I then send an email to all county politicians and oversight bodies. [See email](#).
198. 3/17/2025 I am informed through the OFW that my child Tamzin has an infection and needs to go to the doctors office. I immediately call the doctors office and request to attend either on the phone or by zoom to my child’s appointment. I call repeatedly and am assured prior to the appointment by the staff that Dr. Santucci and Director Steve Scallia have my information. I am not contacted until hours after the appointment. [See Portal Emails](#).
199. 3/20/2025 I am sent a granted fee waiver from the Appellant Court of San Francisco, yet it states that I will need to pay for transcripts. This is confusing since no documentation or conversations have been made concerning transcripts with the Appellant Court. This suggests behind the scenes communications with staff and judicial officers between two separate courts. Note: I still have not received notification of my request for a hearing for my Marin Superior Court fee waiver. [See Appellant Court Fee Waiver](#)

# Quick Guide to Key Events and Information

## Appellant Court: Writs and Appeals

1. 2/5/2025 I file my 1<sup>st</sup> Writ of Mandate with Marin Superior Court. [See Writ](#)
2. 2/18/2025 Writ of Mandate is denied based on lack of Jurisdiction [See Dismissal](#)
3. 5/14/2025 I file 2nd [writ of Mandate](#) with the First District Appellant Court in San Francisco
4. 5/20/25 8:36 am Appellant Court grants my Fee Waiver. [See Order](#).
5. 5/20/2025 4:11pm Appellant Court denies writ with no explanation. [See denial](#)
6. 7/8/2025 3<sup>rd</sup> Writ. [See Writ](#), [See Writ Points and Authorities](#), [See Application for Immediate Stay](#)
7. 7/16/2025 4<sup>th</sup> Writ of Mandate. [See Writ](#)
8. 7/16/2025 [See Writ Denial](#) (300 pg. writ denied w/in hours no explanation.)
9. 7/18/2025 [See Writ Denial](#).
10. 7/21/2025 I file and serve all parties and oversight organizations Writ of Mandate #5. [See email to parties and oversight](#). [See Writ of Mandate #5](#). See [Cover Letter to PJ](#) attached to Writ.
11. 7/21/25 I file my responsive declaration addressing misstatements made by opposing counsel and citing once again on the record the judicial misconduct. [See Filing](#)
12. 7/25/25 [See Writ Denial](#).
13. 9/12/25 I file a Writ of Mandate and Application for Immediate Stay with the appellant court and serve Kris Cirby and Court Administration. [See September Writ of Mandate](#). [See Application for Immediate Stay](#).
14. 9/18/25 My Writ of Mandate is denied and Application for Immediate stay are denied with no explanation. [See Denial](#).
15. 9/19/25 Judge Mayfeild responds to the Disqualification. [See Verified Response](#).
16. 9/24/25 I file and serve the Supreme Court Petition for the Writ of Mandate denied on 9/18/25. [See Supreme Court Petition](#). Supreme Court Grants my fee waiver. [See Fee Waiver](#)
17. 2/13 [See APP0022 Notice of Appeal](#). See Fee Waiver Attached to the appeal. [See Fee Waiver](#).
18. 2/17/26 . [See Clerks Notice of Appeal](#). [See email to appellant court](#)

19. 2/24/26 I file [APP 003, FW 100](#),
20. 3/6/26 Fee Waiver Hearing Request [See email](#). [See FW006 request for hearing](#).
21. 3/12/2026 Filed [APP 004](#)
- 22.

## **Supreme Court Petitions**

1. [7/8/25 Letter](#) Mailed and hand Delivered to CJ Patricia Guererro
2. 7/8/25 Response from CJ Patricia Guererro. [See Response](#).
3. 7/21/25 I serve and file Supreme Court Petition 1. [See email service](#). See [Supreme Court Petition 1](#)
4. July 22 I serve and file Supreme Court Petition 1 revised. [See email service](#). See [Supreme Court Petition 1 Revised](#).
5. 7/28/2025 See [Supreme Court Petition 2](#)
6. 9/24/25 I file and serve the Supreme Court Petition for the Writ of Mandate denied on 9/18/25. [See Supreme Court Petition #3](#). Supreme Court Grants my fee waiver. [See Fee Waiver](#)
7. 9/25/25 I receive SC Denial dated 9/17/25 of all 3 filings w/ no explanation . [See SC Denial 1](#)
8. [See SC Denial 2](#)
9. [See SC Denial 3](#)

## **Federal Lawsuit Documents**

1. 8/22/25 . [See Federal Lawsuit](#)
2. 9/4/2025 IFP [See IFP](#)
3. 11/13/25 [See Judgement](#). Then closes the case.
4. 11/13/25 [See Order of Dismissal](#).
5. 12/25 Notice of Appeal
6. [Full Federal Docket](#)

## **9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Appeal Docs**

1. Notice of Appeal
2. 1/19 [Garcia Opening Brief](#)
3. 1/19 [Motion For Leave Amicus Letter](#)
4. 1/20 [NAACP Amicus Letter](#)
5. 2/19 Moniz [See Appeal Brief](#).

6. 2/19 Moniz [See Supplemental Exhibits](#)
7. 2/26 Garcia Streamlined Extension [See attached.](#)

## **CJP Complaints**

1. [complaint with the CJP #1](#) 12/26/24
2. [See Letter to PJ and filing.](#) 2/6/25
3. [Second Judicial Council Complaint](#) 5/15/25
4. [See CJP complaint #3.](#) 7/3/25
5. [See Talamantes July Letter](#) 7/7/2025
6. [See CJP complaint #4.](#) 7/8/2025
7. . [See CJP complaint #5](#) 7/11/2025
8. [See CJP Complaint #6](#) 7/20/2025
9. [See CJP Complaint #7.](#) 7/30/2025
10. [CJP Letter Response](#) 7/31/2025
11. [See CJP Complaint #8.](#) 8/20/2025
12. [See CJP complaint #9.](#) 8/22/2025
13. [See CJP complaint #10](#) 8/24/2025
14. [See October Letter to Talamantes](#) 10/20/2025
15. [See Talamantes October Response](#) 10/29/2025
16. . [See November Letter to Talamantes](#) 11/10/2025
17. [See November Talamantes Response.](#) 11/17/2025
18. [SEE CJP DECISION](#) 12/11/2025
19. . [See CJP complaint #11](#) 11/12/2025
20. [See CJP Complaint](#) against Judge Mayfield 1/7/2026
21. [See CJP Response](#) 1/8/2026

## **Transcripts**

1. See [Transcript of 12/17/2024](#)
2. [See July 2 Transcript.](#)
3. [See 9/5/25 Hearing Transcript.](#)
4. [See September 10 transcript.](#)
5. [See September 17 Transcript.](#)
6. [See 9/24/25 Transcript.](#)
7. [See 11/6/25 Transcript.](#) [See Orders After Hearing](#)
8. [See Transcript of 11/7/25 Hearing](#)

9. [See Transcript 11/24/25](#)
10. [See Full 11/25/25 transcript](#)
11. [See Transcript of 1/22/26 Hearing](#)
12. 3/10/26 Hearing ordered\*

## **Disqualifications**

7. 12/27/2024 filed 2 disqualifications [170.6](#) and [170.1/170.3](#)
8. [1/6/2025 Order](#) Frankel Strike 170.6
9. . [See strike 5/7/2025](#) Frankel Respond and Rule 170.1 (filed 12/24 served 5/1/25)
10. [7/7/25 3<sup>rd</sup> Disqualification of Janet Frankel](#)
11. 7/18/25 [Frankel Rules on her own DQ](#)
12. 7/28/25 [4<sup>th</sup> 170.1 Disqualification of Janet Frankel.](#)
13. 7/28-8/25 Frankel Avoids Service. [See Sherrif's Office Proof of Service with Service attempts listed](#)
14. 8/22/25 [See Talamantes Disqualification w/ Fed Suit Exhibit.](#)
15. 8/22/25 [See Jordan Disqualification](#) w/ Fed Suit Exhibit.
16. 8/22/25 [See Frankel Disqualification](#) with Fed Suit Exhibit
17. 8/22/25 Frankel [See Strike Order](#) on 7/28/25 DQ.
18. 9/4/25 . [See Talamantes Order/Strike](#), Talamantes rules on own DQ
19. 9/4/25 [See Frankel Order/Strike](#). Frankel rules on own DQ
20. 9/19/25 Judge Mayfeild [See Verified Response](#)
21. 9/24/25. [See Order/Strike Judge Jordan](#) Jordan rules on own DQ
22. 10/3/25 Judge Donnovans ruling on Mayfeild DQ [See Ruling.](#)
23. [See Frankel 10/2 Strike Order.](#)
24. [See 11/3/25 Disqualification of Janet Frankel](#)
25. [11/6/25 Frankel "Orally strikes DQ](#) and continues to preside.
26. [11/12 Frankel Order/Strike.](#)

## **Fee Waivers**

1. [2/11/2025 Fee waiver](#) is granted in full by Judge Beth Jordan
2. 5/20/2025 SF Appellant Court Grants Fee Waiver [See Order](#)
3. 7/2/25 Frankel denies fee waiver despite objection ([see fee waiver denial](#))
4. 9/4/2025 US District Court Grants IFP . [See Federal IFP Granted](#)
5. 9/18/2025 . California Supreme Court Grants Fee Waive [See Fee Waiver](#)
6. 2/11/2026 Fee Waiver. See fee waiver to Marin Appellant Court. [See full Waiver application](#)

7. 2/26/25 Marin denies appeal fee waiver and I file a FW006 to request a hearing. No hearing is set. I am told “it is on Judges’ desk”. No appeal documents are available on e portal. [See FW006](#). Denial is attached as last exhibit.
8. 3/20/2026 Appellant Court Fee Waiver with unusual message: [See Appellant Court Fee Waiver](#)

## **Bar Complaints**

1. [2022 Bar Complaint 1 Receipt](#)
2. [2022 Bar Closing Letter](#)
3. [2023 Bar Complaint 2 Receipt](#)
4. [2023 Bar Response](#)
5. [2026 Bar Complaint 3 Receipt](#)
6. [2026 Bar Closing Letter](#)

## **Docket Screenshots**

1. [2/23/26 Full Events Docket](#)
2. [11/23/25 Full Docket](#)
3. [2/23/26 Full Docket](#)
4. [7/3/25 Docket Screenshot 8:58am](#)
5. [7/10/25 Docket Screenshot 9:59am](#)
6. [7/14/25 Docket Screenshot 11:13 am](#)
7. [7/15/25 Docket Screenshot 11:27 am](#)
8. [7/18/25 Docket Screenshot documents 8:47am](#)
9. [7/18/25 Docket Screenshot Case 8:47pm](#)
10. [8/17/25 Docket Screenshot 4:38pm](#)
11. [8/19/25 Docket Screenshot 9:54pm](#)
12. [8/24/25 Docket Screenshot 1:24pm](#)
13. [8/28/25 Docket Screenshot 8:58am](#)
14. [2/19/25 Docket Screenshot Case 6:10pm](#)
15. [2/19/26 Docket Screenshot Events 6:11pm](#)
16. [2/19/26 Docket Screenshot Appeals 6:11pm](#)
17. [2/20/26 Docket Screenshot Case 11:20am](#)
18. [2/20/26 Docket Screenshot Documents 11:21am](#)
19. [2/20/26 Docket Screenshot Appeals 11:21am](#)
20. [2/20/26 Docket Screenshot Events 11:22am](#)

## **Tina McMillan LMFT**

Respondents Therapist who officially came onto the case following Dr. Gloria Wu's 2023 FCS Report. Through her letters, it was later discovered that she had been seeing Respondent as a client long before the case ever opened and recommended Child Therapist Jay Skelton. Ms. McMillan had never met me, witnessed parenting time with either parent, and admitted in the transcripts under oath she did not administer any psychological testing on the Respondent and lacked the credentials to do so, yet offered a formal diagnosis and her voice was elevated over my Ph.D. and LMFT therapists, and court ordered supervisors of color.

1. [05/31/25 and 6/13/23 Letters to the Court](#)
2. [07/02/25 Letter to the Court](#)
3. [See September 17 Transcript.](#)
4. [See Transcript of 11/7/25 Hearing](#)
5. [. See Final Orders After Hearing](#)

## **Tamalpais Pediatrics**

1. [11/18/21 Email to Dr Santucci](#) requesting to be notified of children's appointments
2. [11/30/21 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) concerning mask requirements at my visits
3. [1/13/21 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) concerning changing of appointments and clarity on visit summaries
4. [1/16/22 Email to Dr Santucci](#) concerning medications
5. [1/16/22 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) requesting a complete list of medications
6. [3/17/22 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) concerning Jax sitting in my front seat
7. [4/12/22 Email to Dr Santucci and Staff](#) asking to be informed of my children's medical appointments and their response that absent a court order they will not help.
8. [4/20/22 Zoom appointment link](#) for Jax's medical appointment
9. [4/20/22 Email from RN](#) informing me of Pulmonology appointment I was not informed of.
10. [8/15/22 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) concerning youngest child allegations of sleep apnea, this has never to this point been in her chart.
11. [8/25/22 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) concerning medical gatekeeping
12. [9/6/22 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) with youngest child Bellamy's prescriptions
13. [11/16/22 Email to Tamalpais Staff](#) concerning medical records
14. [6/6/23 Email to Dr Santucci](#) concerning youngest child feeding and medication increases without my knowledge.
15. [6/16/23 Email to Dr. Santucci](#) requesting complete list of medications of children
16. [9/29/23 Zoom Link](#) to youngest daughter Bellamy's appointment

17. [2/2/2026 Email from Steve Escamilla](#) stating that they cannot inform me of medical appointments even with a court order and they have a newly implemented policy. [See Policy.](#)
18. [2/17-2/19/26 emails to Tamalpais Pediatrics](#) concerning medications and cancelling of prescriptions, leading to medical records request.
19. [2/19/26 Email to Tamalpais Pediatrics](#) concerning children's medications for both homes
20. [2/19/26 Email to Tamalpais Pediatrics](#) requesting full Medical Documents
21. [2/20/2026 Letter from Dr. Santucci](#) outlining all medications of children
22. [2/25/26 Email from Steve Escamilla](#) denying medical records (this was done on phone and I requested email confirmation) later he granted the request.
23. [3/12/26 Email to Tamalpais Pediatrics](#) concerning medications and medical records
24. [3/17/26 Emails to Tamalpais](#) attempting to attend my child's appointment that were blocked

## **Supplemental Materials**

The Following materials and reviews are not verified, are hearsay, and are only presented to provide additional context that the events described in my report are not isolated instances and could demonstrate patterns of behavior.

### **Background of Marin Leadership (Publicly Available Online)**

In 2014 Attorney Barbara Kaufman filed numerous complaints against Marin Judge Beverly Wood and Marin CEO Kim Turner. This dispute was well documented and the information is readily available online. Below are excerpts from what is available.

1. [See Kaufman Letter to County Counsel.](#)
2. [See Kaufman Letter to Governor.](#)
3. [See Justice California Article](#) showing CEO John Montgomery and CEO Kim Turner alleging background controversy.
4. [See 2014 Press Release from Center for Judicial Excellence.](#)